# Simulations of Fluid Dynamics and Heat Transfer in $LH_2$ Absorbers

Kevin W. Cassel, Aleksandr V. Obabko and Eyad A. Almasri

Fluid Dynamics Research Center, Mechanical, Materials and Aerospace Engineering Department, Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, IL

> $LH_2$  Absorber Review Meeting Fermilab August 12-13, 2002



Two approaches under consideration:

- ① External cooling loop (traditional approach).
  - Reference Bring the  $LH_2$  to the coolant (heat removed in an external heat exchanger).
- ② Combined absorber and heat exchanger.
  - ${}^{\tiny\hbox{\tiny I\!S\!S}}$  Bring the coolant, i.e. He, to the  $LH_2$  (remove heat directly within absorber).





Advantages/disadvantages of an external cooling loop:

- + Has been used for several  $LH_2$  targets (e.g. SLAC E158).
- + Easy to regulate bulk temperature of  $LH_2$ .
- + Is likely to work best for small aspect ratio (L/R) absorbers.
- May be difficult to maintain uniform vertical flow through the absorber.

Advantages/disadvantages of a combined absorber/heat exchanger:

- + Takes advantage of natural convection transverse to the beam path.
- + Flow in absorber is self regulating, *i.e.* larger heat input  $\Rightarrow$  more turbulence  $\Rightarrow$  enhanced thermal mixing.
- + Is likely to work best for large aspect ratio (L/R) absorbers.
- More difficult to ensure against boiling at very high Rayleigh numbers.



Energy balance between  $LH_2$  and coolant (He).

✓ Parameters:

$T_i$	=	coolant inlet temperature
$T_o$	=	coolant outlet temperature
$T_{LH_2}$	=	bulk temperature of $LH_2$
A	=	surface area of cooling tubes
$h_{LH_2}$	=	convective heat transfer coefficient of $LH_2$
$h_{He}$	=	convective heat transfer coefficient of $He$
$\Delta x$	=	thickness of cooling tube walls
$k_w$	=	thermal conductivity of cooling tube walls
$c_p$	=	specific heat capacity of $He$



✓ Rate of heat transfer:

$$\dot{q} = -\frac{A(T_o - T_i)}{\left(\frac{1}{h_{LH_2}} + \frac{\Delta x}{k_w} + \frac{1}{h_{He}}\right) \ln\left(\frac{T_{LH_2} - T_o}{T_{LH_2} - T_i}\right)}$$

✓ Mass flow rate of He:

$$\dot{m}_{He} = \frac{\dot{q}}{c_p \left(T_o - T_i\right)}.$$

 $h_{He} \Rightarrow$  from appropriate correlation (flow through a tube).  $h_{LH_2}$  and  $T_{LH_2} \Rightarrow$  from CFD simulations (no correlations for natural convection with heat generation).



Features of the CFD Simulations:

- ✓ Provides average convective heat transfer coefficient and average  $LH_2$  temperature for heat exchanger analysis.
- ✓ Track maximum  $LH_2$  temperature (*cf.* boiling point).
- ✓ Determine details of fluid flow and heat transfer in absorber.
  - $\Rightarrow$  Better understanding leads to better design!



### CFD (cont'd)

Take 1: Results using FLUENT (M. Boghosian):

- ✓ Simulate one half of symmetric domain.
- ✓ Steady flow calculations.
- ✓ Heat generation via *steady* Gaussian distribution.
- ✓ Turbulence modeling (RANS) used for  $Ra \ge 4 \times 10^9$ .
- Take 2: Results using COA code (A. Obabko and E. Almasri):
  - ✓ Simulate full domain.
  - ✓ Unsteady flow calculations.
- ✓ All scales computed for all Rayleigh numbers.
  - → Investigate startup behavior, *e.g.* startup overshoot in  $T_{max}$ .
  - ► Investigate possibility of asymmetric flow oscillations.
  - Investigate influence of beam pulsing.



#### **Formulation**

Properties and parameters:

R	=	radius of absorber
$T_w$	=	wall temperature of absorber
$\dot{q}^{\prime\prime\prime}(r)$	=	rate of volumetric heat generation (Gaussian distribution)
$\dot{q}'$	=	rate of heat generation per unit length
u	=	kinematic viscosity of $LH_2$
lpha	=	thermal diffusivity of $LH_2$
k	=	thermal conductivity of $LH_2$
$\beta$	=	coefficient of thermal expansion of $LH_2$



Energy equation:

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + v_r \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + \frac{v_\theta}{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \theta^2} + q(r)$$

Vorticity-transport equation:

$$\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} + v_r \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial r} + \frac{v_\theta}{r} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \theta} = Pr \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \omega}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 \omega}{\partial \theta^2} \right] + Ra_R Pr \left[ \sin \theta \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + \frac{\cos \theta}{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial \theta} \right]$$

Streamfunction equation:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \theta^2} = -\omega$$
$$v_r = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta}, \quad v_\theta = -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r}$$



Initial and boundary conditions:

$$T = \omega = \psi = v_r = v_\theta = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad t = 0,$$
$$T = \psi = v_r = v_\theta = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad r = 1.$$

Non-dimensional variables:

$$r = \frac{r^*}{R}, \quad v_r = \frac{v_r^*}{R/\alpha}, \quad v_\theta = \frac{v_\theta^*}{R/\alpha}, \quad t = \frac{t^*}{R^2/\alpha},$$
$$T = \frac{T^* - T_w}{\dot{q}'/k}, \quad \psi = \frac{\psi^*}{\alpha}, \quad \omega = \frac{\omega^*}{\alpha/R^2},$$
$$q(r) = \frac{\dot{q}'''(r)}{\dot{q}'/R^2} = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}e^{-\frac{r^2}{2\sigma^2}}, \quad \sigma = \frac{\sigma^*}{R}.$$



Prandtl Number:

$$Pr = \frac{\nu}{\alpha}$$

Rayleigh Number:

$$Ra_R = GrPr = \frac{gR^3\beta\dot{q}'/k}{\nu\alpha} \left(=\frac{\pi}{32}Ra_{MB}\right)$$

Nusselt number:

$$Nu_R = \frac{h_{LH_2}R}{k} \left(=\frac{Nu_{MB}}{2}\right)$$



#### **Results – Flow Regimes**

Based on preliminary results, the following flow regimes are observed:

- Steady, symmetric solutions:  $Ra_R \leq 1 \times 10^8$
- Solutions:  $Ra_R \ge 1 \times 10^9$

Steady, symmetric results for  $Ra_R = 1.57 \times 10^7$  (uniform heat generation):

Streamfunction: Temperature:

Vorticity:



![](_page_11_Figure_8.jpeg)

#### Steady, Symmetric Results (cont'd)

Nusselt number versus  $\theta$  for  $Ra_R = 1.57 \times 10^7$  (uniform heat generation): Nu vs.  $\theta$ :

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_3.jpeg)

Uniform heat generation ( $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$ ) with Pr = 1:

$Ra_R$	Mitachi <i>et al.</i> <sup>1</sup>	$FLUENT^2$	COA Code
$1.57 \times 10^6$	8.58	7.7	8.2
$1.57 \times 10^7$	14.0	11.9	12.0

<sup>1</sup> Mitachi *et al.* (1986, 1987) - Results shown are from numerical simulations which compared favorably with experiments.

 $^2$  From M. Boghosian's correlation for Pr=1.4, i.e.  $\bar{Nu}_{MB}=0.7041\cdot Ra_{MB}^{0.1864}.$ 

![](_page_13_Picture_5.jpeg)

# Steady, Symmetric Results: $Ra_R = 1 \times 10^8, \sigma = 0.25$

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_2.jpeg)

## Unsteady, Asymmetric Results: $Ra_R = 1 \times 10^9, \sigma = 0.25$

t = 0.2

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

Movies for streamfunction, temperature and vorticity ( $0 \le t \le 0.25$ ).

![](_page_15_Picture_4.jpeg)

## Unsteady, Asymmetric Results: $Ra_R = 1 \times 10^9, \sigma = 0.25$

Asymmetric oscillation does not significantly influence wall heat transfer (e.g. Nu for left and right walls superimposed).

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_3.jpeg)

Movie for  $Ra_R = 1 \times 10^{11}$  ( $\sigma = 0.25$ ).

Nu vs.  $\theta$ :

![](_page_17_Figure_3.jpeg)

Current and future work:

- $\succ$  Simulate Argonne test case and compare results.
- Determine critical Rayleigh number above which solutions are unsteady and asymmetric.
- > Evaluate influence of  $\sigma$ , *i.e.* ratio of beam size to absorber size, on heat transfer.
- > Obtain solutions at higher Rayleigh numbers (target  $Ra_R \sim 10^{14}$ ).
- Compare high-Rayleigh number COA solutions (unsteady) with FLUENT results (steady RANS).
- $\succ$  Examine need for heater, *e.g.* to combat start-up overshoot.
- Investigate influence of pulsed beam on fluid dynamics and heat transfer.

Note that at 15 Hz, one pulse corresponds to  $2.4 \times 10^{-7}$  non-dimensional time units (*cf.*  $\Delta t = 10^{-8}$ ).

![](_page_18_Picture_10.jpeg)